Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3139-4 Filed: 01/31/20 1 of 6. PageID #: 486246

EXHIBIT 20

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2
                   EASTERN DIVISION
3
                                    MDL No. 2804
    IN RE: NATIONAL
    PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
                                    Case No.
    LITIGATION,
                                    1:17-MD-2804
5
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
                                ) Hon. Dan A.
                                   Polster
    ALL CASES
6
7
8
9
              Wednesday, January 23, 2019
10
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
11
                 CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12
13
14
           Videotaped Deposition of SUSANNE
15
     HILAND, held at 4206 South J.B. Hunt Drive,
16
     Rogers, Arkansas, commencing at 8:25 a.m., on
     the above date, before Debra A. Dibble,
     Certified Court Reporter, Registered
17
     Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime
18
     Captioner, Certified Realtime Reporter and
     Notary Public.
19
20
21
22
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
             877.370.3377 ph | fax 917.591.5672
23
                     deps@golkow.com
24
25
```

```
said, "I wouldn't necessarily bring this up,
but if they discuss anything about continuing
```

- education programs for our DRs, I would like
- 4 to know what they consider acceptable
- 5 programs."
- First off, why are you
- 7 suggesting that Mr. Harris not specifically
- 8 or necessarily bring that topic up?
- 9 A. I don't recall specifically. I
- think we had access to some training, but we
- weren't members of HDMA, so -- so some of the
- training that might have applied to this
- certification might not have been available
- to us.
- I don't know that it was all
- that important and not a significant concern.
- 17 That's what I recall about that
- continuing education. I don't recall if
- there's anything else that I had in mind at
- that time.
- Q. So based on that, is it
- possible you didn't want to call attention to
- the fact that Walmart wasn't a member of the
- HDMA and therefore might not have some of the
- training that could be applied to the VAWD

1 Α. This was part of the program 2. that we put in place to address, enhance 3 additional monitoring of oxycodone 30, and it 4 included -- that plan included the mandatory 5 checking of prescription monitoring programs 6 when oxycodone 30 prescriptions were filled, 7 as well as a requirement for our pharmacists 8 to gain access to their state prescription monitoring programs, if access was allowed at 10 the state level. 11 And why, at that time, did Ο. 12 Walmart decide that it needed additional 13 monitoring of oxycodone 30s? 14 We had received information Α. 15 from a DEA agent that oxycodone 30 was on 16 their radar to be -- I mean, just to kind of 17 simplify. 18 That they had heightened 19 concerns about oxycodone 30. During that 20 meeting they indicated that Walmart was not a 21 focus of the concerns that they had, but we 22 wanted to proactively establish additional 23 due diligence to ensure that we didn't become 24 part of the DEA's concern around oxy 30. 25 And this plan that was outlined Ο.

```
1
                   So this letter opens -- the
            Ο.
 2.
     opening paragraph asks Senator Harkin to
 3
     fight prescription diversion abuse. Right?
 4
            Α.
                   Yes.
 5
            Ο.
                   Okay. And you ascribe the
 6
     matter as urgent; is that right?
 7
            Α.
                   Yes.
 8
                   And this is in -- this is in
            Q.
 9
     2012?
10
            Α.
                   Yes.
11
                   Why in 2012 did you feel that
            Q.
12
     the matter was urgent?
13
                   This was part of efforts that
            Α.
14
     we had ongoing. This was in the -- kind of
15
     in the same time frame that we were hearing
16
     about the oxy 30 issues from the DEA.
17
     that there were actions taken against some of
18
     our competitors around their dispensing
19
     habits, and so we were seeing the issues
20
     related to opioid use continuing to rise,
21
     just coming from an environmental scan.
22
                   Did you say opioid use or
            0.
     opioid abuse?
23
24
                   I meant abuse, if ...
            Α.
25
                   So the reason why, in August of
            Q.
```

```
1
     2012, you believe the matter was urgent was
2.
     because of the conversations you had had with
3
     the DEA regarding oxy 30 and the fines and
4
     penalties that were leveled against folks who
5
     were similarly situated to Walmart in the
6
     dispensing and distribution of opioids.
7
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
8
            form.
9
                   THE WITNESS: Really what we
10
            were -- what we were seeing as a
11
            continuing issue related to the opioid
12
            abuse.
13
                   (BY MR. INNES) Okay. And you
            Ο.
14
     mentioned that the DEA told you that they
15
     weren't focused on Walmart in particular for
16
     oxy 30s at that point in time; right?
17
            Α.
                   That is correct.
18
                   And these actions that you
19
     referenced were brought against companies
20
     other than Walmart? That's right?
21
            Α.
                   Correct.
22
                   So why would a warning from the
            Ο.
23
     DEA that you weren't a concern, that Walmart
24
     wasn't a concern and actions against
25
     companies other than Walmart create this
```